

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/808,213	03/23/2004		Dennis M. Treu	53951-127	3937	
21890	7590	10/18/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PROSKAU			BIANCO, PATRICIA			
PATENT DEPARTMENT 1585 BROADWAY				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10036-8299				3772	3772	
			•	DATE MAILED: 10/18/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				6
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/808,213	TREU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Patricia M. Bianco	3772	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY IN THE MAILING AND THE MAILING THE MAILING AND THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 A	<u>ugust 2006</u> .		
<i>,</i> —	,—	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4:	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-5 and 11-17 is/are claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 6-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	withdrawn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
• —	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceedable and any objection to the	epted or b) objected to by the l		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) the mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) the No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Species B in the reply filed on 8/7/06 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claims 6-10 were elected as reading on Species B.

Claims 1-5 and 11-17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 8/7/06.

Specification

Applicant has indicated co-pending applications in the first paragraph of the specification. The first page of the specification should be updated to clarify the status of all related applications noted in the first paragraph of the specification. The status of nonprovisional parent application(s) (whether patented or abandoned) should also be included. If a parent application has become a patent, the expression "now Patent No.______" should follow the filing date of the parent application. If a parent application has become abandoned, the expression "now abandoned" should follow the filing date of the parent application.

Art Unit: 3772

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Connell et al. (5,744,027). Connell et al. (hereafter Connell) discloses an extracorporeal blood treatment device that includes a fluid balancing system that generates ingoing and outgoing fluid. The fluid balancing system defines a volumetric chamber assembly includes an equalizer having chambers. The equalizer has a first flow assembly (126) divided into two compartments (130/134) by a flexible wall (138), a second flow assembly (136) divided into two compartments (132/136) by a flexible wall (140). The flow assemblies discharge and receive fluids into their chambers for subsequent discharge. The system includes multiple pumps in communication with the fluid lines. The flow equalizer has solenoid-actuated valves (142-149) that meters fluid flow through the fluid conduits, i.e. control the filling and emptying of each compartments in cycles. As the first chamber fills with a specific amount of fluid, the second chamber discharges an equal amount of fluid to volumetrically balance the fluid flow. The equalizer also is operatively connected to fluid pumps for moving fluids into and out of

Application/Control Number: 10/808,213

Art Unit: 3772

the chambers. The device further includes a control device that is a microprocessor that controls the fluid flow into and out of the device. The microprocessor controls the pump assemblies and is programmable, therefore it is capable of carrying out the operation of the pumps according to the cycles claimed. (See figure 1B & col. 1, line 63-col. 8, line 25)

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 6-10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,638,477. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the application claims are a broader recitation of the invention than that of the issued patent, including all of the same limitations. The claims of the application claim a broader recitation of the fluid replacement system since they do not specifically claim a hemofilter or a waste line as recited in the patent claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,213 Page 5

Art Unit: 3772

Since a broad interpretation patent claims includes the system of the application, if a patent was to grant on the pending claims of this application applicant would be granted an unlawful extension of protection beyond the years of the **6,638,477** patent.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Patricia M. Bianco at telephone number (571) 272-4940.

Patricia M Bianco

SPE

Art Unit 3772